

Equivariant Analogue of Grothendieck's Theorem for Vector Bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$

Shrawan Kumar

February 1, 2008

To Professor C.S. Seshadri on his Seventieth birth day

The aim of this note is to prove the following equivariant analogue of Grothendieck's theorem, which was asked by W. Fulton.

Let T be a complex (connected) torus acting algebraically on $X = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem. *Let E be a T -equivariant algebraic vector bundle on X . Then there exist T -equivariant line subbundles $L_1, \dots, L_m \subset E$ such that we have a T -equivariant vector bundle isomorphism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_m & \xrightarrow{\sim} & E \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}^1, & \end{array}$$

under $v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus v_m \mapsto v_1 + \dots + v_m$.

Proof. As is well known (cf. [2; Exercise 6.6, Chapter I]), the group of algebraic automorphisms of X can be identified with the projective linear group $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ via $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot [z_1, z_2] = [az_1 + bz_2, cz_1 + dz_2]$. Let $D \subset \mathrm{PGL}(2)$ be the standard diagonal subgroup. Since D fixes the point at infinity $[1, 0]$, the action of D on X lifts to give a D -equivariant line bundle structure on $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ (and hence on any $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ acquires a T -equivariant line bundle structure.

By the virtue of Grothendieck's theorem (cf. [1; Théorème 2.1]), decompose $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X(n_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(n_m)$ with $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_m$. Tensoring E with $\mathcal{O}_X(-n_1)$ and putting a T -equivariant line bundle structure on $\mathcal{O}_X(-n_1)$, we can assume that $E \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(n_2) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(n_m)$ with each

$n_2, \dots, n_m \leq 0$. Thus any $0 \neq \sigma \in H^0(X, E)$ is nowhere vanishing. Further, $H^0(X, E) \neq 0$. Of course, $H^0(X, E)$ is a T -module. Take a T -eigenvector $0 \neq \sigma \in H^0(X, E)$. Then $\{\mathbb{C}\sigma(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ is a T -equivariant line subbundle, denoted L_1 , of E .

We get an exact sequence of T -equivariant bundles:

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/L_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (*)$$

We claim that this sequence is T -equivariantly split:

Consider the T -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E/L_1, E)$ of bundle morphisms. Then we get a natural T -module map

$$\pi : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E/L_1, E) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E/L_1, E/L_1).$$

Observe that all the line bundles, occurring in E/L_1 as a direct summand, have degrees ≤ 0 . This can be seen, e.g., by tensoring the sequence $(*)$ with $\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ and then considering the associated long exact cohomology sequence. Thus $(*)$ splits as vector bundles by [2; Propositions 6.3 and 6.7, Chap. III] (without regarding the T -equivariance). In particular, π is surjective. Thus π induces a surjective map

$$\pi^T : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E/L_1, E)^T \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(E/L_1, E/L_1)^T,$$

where the superscript T means T -invariants. Take a preimage f of the identity homomorphism $\mathbf{I}$ under π^T . This f provides a T -equivariant splitting of $(*)$. Thus $E \simeq L_1 \oplus E/L_1$ as T -equivariant bundles. So, by induction on rank E , the theorem follows. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author was supported by NSF.

References.

- [1] Grothendieck, A.: Sur la classification des fibres holomorphes sur la sphere de Riemann, *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 121–138.
- [2] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

Address:

Department of Mathematics, UNC at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599–3250, USA